

Easterling, Deborah

281315

**From:** Easterling, Deborah  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 15, 2019 2:16 PM  
**To:** 'Rouse, Robert W'  
**Subject:** RE:

Dear Mr. Rouse,

This is to acknowledge receipt of your additional Comments to the Public Service Commission of South Carolina. Your additional Comments will be placed in the Comments File of the Docket listed below and on the Commission's Website at [www.psc.sc.gov](http://www.psc.sc.gov).

- Docket No. 2017-370-E - Joint Application and Petition of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company and Dominion Energy, Incorporated for Review and Approval of a Proposed Business Combination between SCANA Corporation and Dominion Energy, Incorporated, as May Be Required, and for a Prudency Determination Regarding the Abandonment of the V.C. Summer Units 2 & 3 Project and Associated Customer Benefits and Cost Recovery Plans

You can follow this Docket and other daily filings made at the Commission by subscribing to the Commission's Email Subscriptions at this link: <https://dms.psc.sc.gov/Web/Email>; or you can follow the individual Docket at the link listed below:

Docket No. 2017-370-E - Joint Application and Petition of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company and Dominion Energy, Incorporated for Review and Approval of a Proposed Business Combination between SCANA Corporation and Dominion Energy, Incorporated, as May Be Required, and for a Prudency Determination Regarding the Abandonment of the V.C. Summer Units 2 & 3 Project and Associated Customer Benefits and Cost Recovery Plans - <https://dms.psc.sc.gov/Web/Dockets/Detail/116542>

If we may be of further assistance to you, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Deborah Easterling  
 Executive Assistant  
 Public Service Commission of South Carolina  
 803-896-5133  
 Sign up for Meeting Agenda Alerts: Text PSCAGENDAS to 39492

RECEIVED

JAN 16 2019

PSC SC  
 CLERK'S OFFICE

**From:** Rouse, Robert W [\_\_\_\_\_  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 15, 2019 1:47 PM  
**To:** PSC\_Contact <Contact@psc.sc.gov>  
**Subject:**

Public Service Commission of South Carolina  
101 Executive Center Dr., Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Sign up for Agenda Text Alerts: Text PSCAGENDAS to 39492



Phone: 803-896-5100

Fax: 803-896-5199

www.psc.sc.gov

Save as PDF file and Email form  
to: [contact@psc.sc.gov](mailto:contact@psc.sc.gov)

\* Required Fields

## Letter of Protest

Date: \* 1/15/2019

in Docket

Print

### Protestant Information:

Name \* Robert Rouse

Mailing Address \*

City, State Zip \* Mt. Pleasant SC 29466 Phone \*

E-mail

1. What is your connection or interest in this case? \* For example, are you a customer of the Company that is the subject of this pending proceeding? (This section must be completed. Attach additional information if necessary.)

I am a consumer of energy which was provided by SCANA and now provided by Dominion.

2. Please give a concise statement of your protest. \* (This section must be completed. Attach additional information if necessary.)

I am pleased with the decision of the Commission that found management of SCANA culpable of a failure of disclosure. I quickly state that is my opinion and my interpretation of the Commission's statement. Having said that I believe that the decision to assign the past and future liability of the failed project to the consumer is wrong. We consumers had nothing to do with the decision and now I believe you have punished us and will punish us for someone else's mistakes. The stockholders of SCANA/SCG appointed/elected management and vested in them the expectation of making prudent decisions. In the event that these decisions produce unforeseen results, it would then be the responsibility of management to disclose these events. To do otherwise could subject one to a charge of gross negligence or fraud. If one is cognizant of these decisions and acts to prevent the disclosure, I would argue that such a response would be the equivalent of misrepresentation. I am not an attorney and have not consulted with one. Just my opinion.

Did the Commission consult with representatives of the SEC or DOJ regarding these issues? Did the Commission consult with the public accountants who attested to the statements which did not included these disclosures?

The consumer was not privy to these decisions and had no role in making or implementing them in my opinion. Would you agree? Yet, your decisions have straddled us with liabilities of \$5 billion. Is that fair?

3. Do you wish to make an appearance at a hearing in this proceeding, if scheduled, and offer sworn testimony? \*

I believe the proceedings of the Commission have been concluded. I did submit a letter of protest before the initial decision was reached.